

Name & Address:
 Keith D. Fraser (SBN#216279) T: (213) 787-2500
 kfraser@cblh.com F: (213) 687-0498
 Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
 333 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2300
 Los Angeles, CA 90071
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs & Third-Party Defendants

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.,

PLAINTIFF(S)

v.

GENENTECH, INC. and CITY OF HOPE,

DEFENDANT(S).

CASE NUMBER:

CV 08-3573 MRP (JEMx)

NOTICE OF MANUAL FILING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

The above-mentioned cause of action has been designated as an electronically filed case. In accordance with General Order 08-02 and Local Rule 5-4 the following document(s) or item(s) will be manually filed. **List Documents:**

SEE ATTACHED LIST OF DOCUMENTS

Document Description:

- ☐ Administrative Record
- ☐ Exhibits
- ☐ Ex Parte Application for authorization of investigative, expert or other services pursuant to the Criminal Justice Act [see Local Civil Rule 79-5.4, Documents to be excluded, (h)]
- ☒ Other

Reason:

- ☒ Under Seal
- ☐ Items not conducive to e-filing (i.e., videotapes, CDROM, large graphic charts)
- ☐ Electronic versions are not available to filer
- ☐ Per Court order dated _____
- ☒ Manual Filing required (*reason*):
 These documents disclose confidential informatin of the parties and should be placed under seal.

July 12, 2010

Date

/s/ Keith D. Fraser

Attorney Name

Plaintiff and Third-Party Defendants

Party Represented

Note: File one Notice in each case, each time you manually file document(s).

NOTICE OF MANUAL FILING
(Attachment)

List of Documents:

- (1) Applications to File Under Seal Documents in Support of Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment Re:
 1. No Willful Infringement;
 2. No Infringement of Claim 33;
 3. Invalidity of Claim 33 for Failure to Comply with 35 USC § 112;
 4. Of Anticipation;
 5. That Claim 33 is Invalid for Failure to Disclose Best Mode; and
 6. Motion for Construction of Claim Term "Immunoglobulin";
- (2) [Proposed] Order to File Under Seal;
- (3) Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment of No Willful Infringement (Motion 1);
- (4) Statement of Undisputed Facts and Conclusions of Law in Support of Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment of No Willful Infringement (Motion 1);
- (5) Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Construction of Claim Term "Immunoglobulin" (Motion 2);
- (6) Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment of No Infringement of Claim 33 (Motion 3);
- (7) Statement of Undisputed Facts and Conclusions of Law in Support of Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment of No Infringement of Claim 33 (Motion 3);

- (8) Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claim 33 for Failure to Comply 35 USC 112 (Motion 4);
- (9) Statement of Undisputed Facts and Conclusions of Law in Support of Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claim 33 for Failure to Comply 35 USC 112 (Motion 4);
- (10) Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment of Anticipation (Motion 5);
- (11) Statement of Undisputed Facts and Conclusions of Law in Support of Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment of Anticipation (Motion 5);
- (12) Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment that Claim 33 is Invalid for Failure to Disclose the Best Mode (Motion 6);
- (13) Statement of Undisputed Facts and Conclusions of Law in Support of Memorandum in Support of Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.'s and Its Counter-Defendant Affiliates' Motion for Summary Judgment that Claim 33 is Invalid for Failure to Disclose the Best Mode (Motion 6); and
- (14) Declaration of Matthew A. Pearson In Support Of Plaintiff's Motions For Summary Judgments and Confidential Exhibits 16 -19, 22-25, 27-28, 32, 34, 35-36, and 39:
 - 1. No Willful Infringement;
 - 2. No Infringement of Claim 33;
 - 3. Invalidity of Claim 33 for Failure to Comply with 35 USC § 112;
 - 4. Of Anticipation;
 - 5. That Claim 33 is Invalid for Failure to Disclose Best Mode; and
 - 6. Motion for Construction of Claim Term "Immunoglobulin".